UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,046	02/02/2005	Gert Wim 'T Hooft	NL 020726	7578
	7590 06/08/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		LEE, HWA S		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2886	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		TH				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/523,046	'T HOOFT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Hwa S. Lee	2886				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. Dly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	April 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
		·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/523,046

Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson et al. (US 6,160,826) in view of Bouma et al (Journal of Biomedical Optics cited in IDS of 2/2/05) and Sharp et al. (Optical Society of America, cited in IDS of 9/12/05).

Swanson et al. (Swanson hereinafter) show an apparatus for performing optical frequency domain reflectometry comprising:

an optical source to emit an optical beam (14)

a sample space (38)

a photodetector (50

Application/Control Number: 10/523,046

Art Unit: 2886

an interferometer set-up (18) including

a reference reflector (34) and

a beam splitter-combination (30) arrangement to

split the optical beam into a reference beam to the reference reflector and a sample beam to the sample space and to

combine a reflected beam from the reference reflector with a returning beam from the sample space on the photodetector.

Swanson teaches that the light source should be appropriately coated on the facets to suppress lasing and teaches that the gain medium fiber may be doped with thulium. Swanson however does not expressly teach the wavelength to be used when the medium is doped with Tm.

Bouma et al (Bouma hereinafter) show optical coherence tomography imaging at 1.81 µm using a Tm-doped fiber source. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used the imaging system at 1.81 µm in order to improve imaging depth penetration.

Swanson also does not show the details for the coatings of the Tm-doped fiber source producing 1.81 μm light.

Sharp et al. (Sharp hereinafter) show a mode-locked fiber laser doped with thulium characterized by low threshold pumping (energy level) achieved by use of coatings shown in Figure 1. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined Swanson with Sharp in order to prevent unwanted lasing and improve the 1.81 μ m production by use of the cavity tuned to 1.81 μ m.

Art Unit: 2886

With respect to claim 6, the prior art of record does not expressly state the quality of the refletivity; however a skilled artisan would have been motivated to use the highest reflectivity available including less than 0.04.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/3/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues that a skilled artisan seeking to combine Bouma to Swanson would select a wavelength outside the claimed wavelength ranged because Bouma states that scattering and absorption properties of human tissues in the 1 to 2 µm are not known. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Bouma states, "...sources at wavelengths of 1.55 and 1.81 µm allow significant imaging penetration into biological tissue" (last sentence of first page) and in the conclusion states that "1.55 and 1.8 µm are well suited for OCT imaging." Thus the Examiner submits there is clear motivation to use a 1.8 µm source.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/523,046

Art Unit: 2886

Page 5

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Hwa S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2419.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur R. Chowdhury can be reached on 571-272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Hwa S. Lee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2886